

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,703	03/29/2001	Kenichiro Sakai	826.1720	4089	
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 08/07/2007 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 700		NGUYEN, HAU H			
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. N. DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2628		
				•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/819,703	SAKAI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Hau H. Nguyen	2628			

			, , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
The MAI	LING DATE of this communic	ation appear	rs on the cover sh	eet with the	correspondence	address
THE REPLY FILED	16 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE	THIS APPLIC	CATION IN COND	ITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
this application places the application a Request for time periods:	filed after a final rejection, but p n, applicant must timely file one blication in condition for allowan Continued Examination (RCE) i	of the followir ice; (2) a Notic in compliance	ng replies: (1) an a ce of Appeal (with a with 37 CFR 1.114	mendment, af appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evenue of the compliance with 3	vidence, which 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
	for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the					
no event, he	for reply expires on: (1) the mailing owever, will the statutory period for	reply expire late	er than SIX MONTHS	from the mailin	ng date of the final re	ejection.
TWO MON	lote: If box 1 is checked, check eith THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP 706	6.07(f).			
have been filed is the cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) set forth in (b) above, i	y be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136( date for purposes of determining the is calculated from: (1) the expiration f checked. Any reply received by the d patent term adjustment. See 37 (	e period of extern n date of the sho ne Office later th	nsion and the corresportened statutory per	ponding amount iod for reply orig	of the fee. The app ginally set in the fina	propriate extension fee I Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of a	Appeal was filed on A becal was filed on A becal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), peal has been filed, any reply n	or any extens	sion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal	onths of the date of of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed (a) They raise	d amendment(s) filed after a fina se new issues that would requir se the issue of new matter (see	re further cons	sideration and/or se			ed because
(c) They are appeal;	e not deemed to place the appli	cation in bette	er form for appeal b	y materially re	educing or simplify	ing the issues for
(d) 🔲 They pre	esent additional claims without of the control of t		orresponding numb	er of finally re	jected claims.	
	ents are not in compliance with		1. See attached No	tice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendm	ent (PTOL-324).
	ply has overcome the following				•	,
	sed or amended claim(s)			in a separate	, timely filed amer	ndment canceling the
7. For purposes how the new of	of appeal, the proposed amend or amended claims would be rej the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll	ected is provid			ill be entered and	an explanation of
Claim(s) allow Claim(s) object	ed: ted to:					
Claim(s) withd	ted: <u>1-5 and 7-15</u> . Irawn from consideration: <u>6</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTH						201
because appli	r other evidence filed after a fin cant failed to provide a showing r presented. See 37 CFR 1.116	of good and	sufficient reasons	why the affida	vit or other evider	ill <u>not</u> be entered ice is necessary and
entered becaushowing a goo	r other evidence filed after the case the affidavit or other evidence and sufficient reasons why it	ce failed to ove is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejectio and was not earlie	ns under appe r presented. S	eal and/or appella See 37 CFR 41.33	nt fails to provide a B(d)(1).
REQUEST FOR RE	or other evidence is entered. An CONSIDERATION/OTHER			•		
See Continua					in condition for all	owance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the atta</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	ched Information Disclosure St	atement(s). (F	PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s).	XU	J
					-	
				SUPERV	KEE M. TUN ISORY PATENT	T EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: because of the reasons given in the previous Office Action. Specifically, the combined references meets the minimum requirements of the claims. In particular, since Nunokawa teach displaying the current position based on the user's current input as indicated in step 108, Fig. 3, and storing the data only when the power is turned off as indicated in step 110. Therefore, the current information based on the detection unit is not stored in the non-volatile yet. Ogawa teach display the display state including the magnification information and display position. Thus, the combined teachings can substantially read on the claimed limitations.